



DoD Executive Agent

Office of the
Assistant Secretary
of the Army
Installations, Energy and
Environment

Work Smarter Not Harder:
Utilizing an Environmental
Management Information System
to Meet Regulatory Compliance
and Reporting Requirements for a
Major Source Title V Facility.

Tannis Danley, Calibre Systems

10 May 2011



Concurrent Technologies Corporation

The NDCEE is operated by:

Technology Transition – Supporting DoD Readiness, Sustainability, and the Warfighter

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	election of information is estimated to completing and reviewing the collection this burden, to Washington Headquauld be aware that notwithstanding an OMB control number.	on of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	regarding this burden estimate or rmation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the 1215 Jefferson Davis	is collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington
1. REPORT DATE 10 MAY 2011 2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
Work Smarter Not Harder: Utilizing an Environmental Management Information System to Meet Regulatory Compliance and Reporting				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
Requirements for a Major Source Title V Facility				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Defense Center for Energy and Environment (NDCEE),Concurrent Technologies Corporation,100 CTC Drive,Johnstown,PA,15904 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER					
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the NDIA Environment, Energy Security & Sustainability (E2S2) Symposium & Exhibition held 9-12 May 2011 in New Orleans, LA. U.S. Government or Federal Rights License					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	CATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	18	RESI GNSIBLE I ERSON

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Fort Benning, Georgia

- Mission
 - Training
 - ➤ Infantry, Ranger, Airborne, Armor, and Officer Candidate Schools
 - Support to training and families
- Infrastructure and support facilities
 - Hospital, Hotel, Instructional space, Barracks, Dining Facilities,
 Administration Buildings, Warehouses.
- Population
 - -100,000+
 - 40,000+ vehicles daily
 - Soldiers, their Families, DA Civilians, Contractors, and Trainees.
- Base Realignment and Maneuver Center of Excellence
 - 113 construction or renovation projects

Environmental Concerns

- Impacts from site operations
 - Training
 - Construction
- Policy implications
 - DoD
 - Federal and State
- Compliance concerns
 - Increasing and changing regulation
- Resource limitations
 - Personnel and funding
- Sustainability drivers

3

Air Quality Compliance at Fort Benning

- Major Source under Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)
 - New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)
- Major Source of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAP)
 - National Emission Standard of Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP)
- Part 70 Operating Permit (Title V)
- Title VI Stratospheric Ozone Protection
- Greenhouse Gas (GHG)Mandatory Reporting Rule
- Executive Order 13514

Fort Benning Air Program Objectives

- Compliance
 - Data collection
 - Record keeping
 - Reporting
- Planning
 - Construction projects
 - New equipment/ emissions sources
- EMS Metrics
 - Emissions reduction (VOC, GHG)
 - Fossil fuel use reductions
 - Alternative energy use

Compliance Data Collection

- Multiple data sources
 - User
 - Operator
 - Energy or other program managers
 - Service contractors
- Various data reporting and collection methods
 - Spreadsheets
 - Monthly emails
 - Hand written notes or log sheets
 - Faxes

Compliance Record Keeping and Reporting

- Multiple spreadsheets for record keeping
 - Minimum of three days per month for verification and entry
 - Requires manual data entry
 - Separated by source
 - Complied according to permit condition
 - > Emissions calculations completed with formulas or macros
 - Verify and certify for compliance
- Reporting
 - Deviations or Excursions
 - Two, Semi-Annual Compliance Reports
 - Annual Compliance Certification
 - Multiple weeks to compile each compliance report

Environmental Management Information System (EMIS)

- Ongoing Dem Val of EMIS (Enviance, in this case)
- Cloud Software as a Service (SaaS) computing
 - No software to purchase
 - No additional hardware required
- Increased data availability and transparency
- Uniform methods and techniques
- Flexibility to handle numerous programs
 - Air (Fort Benning)
 - Water/DMR (Fort Benning)
 - Hazardous Waste (Fort Carson)
 - EMS (Hawaii Garrison, West Virginia National Guard)

Project Purpose

- Build a single, unified management and reporting system for compliance and planning
- Configure it specifically for Fort Benning
- Streamline Title V data collection, compliance management, and reporting
- Harmonize the emissions inventory estimating methodologies for Title V, PSD, GHG, and state emissions inventory reporting
- Develop a "What if" tool for project and new source analysis
- Improve compliance and reporting efficiency so resources could be allocated to EMS and Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan goals

Challenges

- Fort Benning Title V Permit
 - Originally contained 128 conditions
 - > 13 additional conditions added during the project
 - 9 source categories
 - Emissions and operating limits
 - > Requires a number to demonstrate compliance
 - Fuel usage
 - Hours of operation
 - Required actions
 - Requires a task record to demonstrate compliance
 - Inspection report for opacity
 - Fuel delivery ticket review

Challenges

- Emissions Harmonization
 - Heating and combustion units were particularly challenging
 - Inconsistent basis for calculating
 - State Air Emissions Inventory reported in lb/mmcf
 - Greenhouse Gas reported in kg/MMbtu
 - > Prevention of Significant deterioration calculated in lb/hour
 - Need to make all calculations run off a single data point

Example: Monthly natural gas meter reading for Boiler #H008 Reported by service contractor to Air Program as ccf. Must be converted to a different reporting unit depending on the requirements.

Challenges

Reporting

- State does not have a set format for Semi-annual Title V compliance reporting but does for the Annual Report
 - Develop a format for the semi-annual that was similar to annual reporting, but enhanced to comply with state requests for supporting documentation and usage
- GHG tool is as yet not released
- Georgia is developing a new Air Emissions Inventory tool that is not fully released
 - Questions remain regarding what will actually be reported and how it will be formatted for each of the agencies

Path of Progress

- Georgia EPD approval
 - Suggested report formatting
 - Basis for emissions limit calculations
- Link a single data point to numerous solutions and outputs
- Configure each source, permit condition and calculation methodology
- Format reports and outputs

Title V Compliance Solution

- Each permit condition is incorporated
 - Fully described and structured
 - Pertinent source data is stored and available
- Task driven such that task completion satisfies recordkeeping requirements
- EMIS performs emissions calculations and compares results to conditions or limits
 - Emissions caps (e.g., 12-month rolling NOx sums)
 - Operating limits (e.g., 12 month rolling fuel use sums)
- Deviation reports are generated automatically
- Report output is formatted to meet State requirements

Emissions Harmonization Solution

- Modified methodology to use the same physical basis for calculating emissions of PSD, TV, GHG, and EI reporting
- Aggregated insignificant sources, not specifically limited under TV, but reportable for PSD, GHG, and EI
- Built emissions calculations for each source category
 - Adding a new boiler or engine test cells is simplified
 - Setting up a source for PSD, structures it for GHG and EI at the same time
- Complete final reporting format once EPA and GA EPD release their final emissions reporting tools

Final Outcomes

- A single, unified management and reporting system for compliance and planning
- Configured specifically for Fort Benning
- Streamlined Title V data collection, compliance management, and reporting
- Harmonized the emissions inventory estimating methodologies.
- Developed "What if" tool for project and new source analysis
- A single data entry supplies information to satisfy TV compliance, PSD, GHG, and State EI calculations.

Cost Savings and Program Improvements

Realized

- Streamlined Title V compliance system creates efficiencies
 - Monthly data entry reduced from 3 days to a few hours
 - Report preparation reduced from several weeks to a few hours
- Emissions Harmonization
 - > FY11 funding released by Air Program (\$50K), not requested for FY12.
 - > FY11 funding planned for PSD analysis being reallocated.

Expected

 Increased TV, PSD, GHG, and EI efficiencies result in better resource allocation for planning and EMS and SSPP improvements.





DoD Executive Agent

Office of the
Assistant Secretary
of the Army
Installations, Energy and
Environment

www.ndcee.ctc.com

Points of Contact

NDCEE Technical Monitor

Name: Dr. Chris Hamilton

Organization: Ft. Benning

Phone: (706) 545-4211

Email: christopher.e.hamilton@us.army.mil

Technical Monitor Support

Name: Ms. Tannis Danley

Organization: Ft. Benning

Phone: (706) 545-1857

Email: tannis.danley@us.army.mil

CTC Project Manager

PMt Name: Angie Degory

Phone: (814) 269-2704

Email: degorya@ctc.com

This work was funded through the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army Installations, Energy and Environment and conducted under contract W91ZLK-10-D-005 Task 0711. The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this paper are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision unless so designated by other official documentation.